



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.4

February 3rd, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Douglas's attitude toward the relationship of the individual to the group is based, both implicitly and explicitly, on a Christian anthropology, ... it is clear that for Douglas, the coming of the God-Man defines the priority of the individual over the group, as indicated in the following interpretations:

"It does seem to me to be difficult to have a plainer and flatter repudiation of collectivism in all its aspects, and of the idea that an organisation can absolve an individual of the responsibility for his actions, than the statement: "He took upon Himself, the sins of the world [Society]".

As in (?into) Adam (Mankind, Collectivity) all men (individuals) die, so in Christ (Individual Consciousness and responsibility) all Men (Individuals) are made Alive.

"Render unto Caesar that which is Caesar's, and unto God that which is God's". Caesar is, of course, functionalism, and if functionalism can be made paramount, if the Will can be paralysed by the Arm, if "The Good which I Will I do not" can be made uniform by the omnipotence of the atavistic Group over the emergent individual, then indeed the Devil is triumphant. ("The Big Idea"; "Land for the [Chosen] People Racket"; "Development of World Dominion").

The individual soul is the centre of the universe; the group is derivative, limited, contingent. The -tion ending of association makes it an action word, "associating". The individual soul is the substantial reality, whereas the association is merely the doing of something by individuals. If the doing assumes a life of its own, with needs and demands of its own (the result of some individuals identifying themselves with the "group"), the group becomes a tyranny.

– Michael Lane, in "Power and Freedom", *Triumph of the Past* www.alor.org

BILL OF WRONGS by James Reed: In the state of Victoria the Bracks government is planning for a Bill of Rights. This is the result of a massive campaign by activist lawyers to give more power to the judiciary. The example of the Australian Capital Territory, which has such a bill, is hoped to be replicated in other States so that an Australian Bill of Rights will ultimately hatch.

Alan Anderson, a Melbourne lawyer published an article entitled "The Rule of Lawyers" in the Summer 2005 edition of Policy (*The Age*, 4/01/06, p.13). Anderson clearly exposes the agenda of the bill-of-righters.

"The intention of these campaigners is plain: the implementation by judicial fiat of a policy agenda that

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *Bill Of Wrongs* • *Australia Day, Newspoll And Republicans* • *Pig From John* • *The Grape, Egg And Citrus 'Glut'* • *It Is Tragic And Absurd*

runs contrary to the beliefs of most Australians, giving left-wing judges power to condemn from the bench, or even over-rule the policy decisions of elected representatives."

All this from a government which destroyed freedom of speech by enacting the Racial and Religious Tolerance Act 2001. Surely these fools cannot be trusted with our sacred freedoms.

As a slogan I say: *League of Rights, Not Bill of Rights!*

AUSTRALIA DAY, NEWSPOLL & REPUBLICANS from Philip Benwell MBE: National Chairman of the Australian Monarchist League Mr. Benwell sent the following communique:

"On Australia Day we commemorated several occasions, firstly, the landing of Captain Arthur Phillip at Camp Cove on 21 January 1788 and the beginning of British settlement in this country. More importantly, the Day also honours those great Australians of the late Nineteenth Century who drafted and produced that magnificent document which is the Australian Constitution through which Australia became a Sovereign Nation in its own right.

It is thus that Australia Day also celebrates the federating of the six Colonies into the Commonwealth of Australia.

The fact that we are constituted 'Under The Crown' is totally of our own choosing, and following the 1999 Referendum, is actually by a vote of the people!

It is therefore somewhat bizarre that republicans, most of whom would not be here had there not been a 'settlement', have chosen Australia Day to agitate for the abolishment of the very Constitution which has underwritten our democracy and our freedoms for over a hundred years, without having the least idea of what to replace it with.

This month's *Newspoll* of 1200 interviews clearly shows that the majority of the Australian people are quite content with our current constitutional system. It is understandable that there is an uncertainty of what will follow on the Queen's passing, but the Australian people are not fools and realise that it is the system which matters, not the emotive responses of sections of the media, some of whom openly admit that their antagonism is due to the fact that 'Dianna sold magazines whereas Camilla does not'. The Prince of Wales is the hardest working Royal of all time. His personal contribution in time and fund-raising is unsurpassed. His efforts in this and other directions are largely unheard of simply because the media does not report on them.

Clarence House has made it quite clear that when Prince Charles ascends to the throne as King, his wife will be termed the Princess Consort and will not be called Queen. However this concern about titles is immaterial as the Duchess of Cornwall will hold no constitutional power in this country whatsoever. As embodied in the Australian Constitution, only one Royal, and that is the reigning Sovereign, may represent and uphold the will of the people and that, always with their consent. If this were not so, how else could it be that the people six years ago were called upon to vote on whether or not to retain our Constitutional Monarchy!

It was only six years ago that the Australian people exercised their right to determine the Constitutional Head of Australia, and responded overwhelmingly in favour of retaining the current system that has served our great country extremely well. Let us not tarnish the meaning and spirit of Australia Day with a concept and ideology that is so un-Australian and contrary to the expressed wishes of the majority of Australians."

PIG FROM JOHN by Robert Hart: Robert Menzies got the nick name "Pig Iron Bob" for selling iron ore to the Japanese which helped build the Japanese war machine. Such iron ore was shot back at Australia during World War II. Australian politicians seem to have an eternal colonial attitude. Now Australia is falling over itself to sell uranium to the Chinese. The caveat is added, of course, that

safeguards" will be made to prevent the uranium exported to China being used for nuclear weapons, or to stop China selling uranium to other countries.

Nonsense! The Chinese don't honour such contracts and essentially do what they want, in China's best interests. Thus even if the exported uranium is not used for nuclear weapons, the exports will free up China's own uranium to be used in nuclear bombs.

Not only will Australia be digging its own nuclear grave, but the Chinese will be beating us to the deal just as the Japanese did with coal sales. China intends to buy up Australian uranium mines, lock, stock and barrel. The profits for Australia will be minimal.

The Australian people must awake to the reality that our politicians are traitors and that our "democracy" is a sham. We are ruled over by essentially a set of puppets, who dance to the string-pulls of the international financial class. That class has now decided that the White race has had its day and it is returning to its Oriental roots.

Martin Wolf ("World Economy Beats to China's Drum", *The Australian*, 15/12/05, p.26) coldly states the consequences of 3.3 billion Asians dominating the world's economy: "The fall in the cost of communications and the opening of global markets, the two driving forces behind contemporary globalisation, multiply the effect of these numbers on the global economy."

"What does economics suggest might be the consequences of the entry of these huge supplies of cheap and hard-working labour on to the world economy? The answers include: a worldwide decline in the relative price of labour-intensive goods and services; a rise in the relative price of commodities, especially where their demand is most affected by industrialisation; a fall in the price of unskilled labour against that of capital, both physical and human; and a rise in global competition."

In other words: the gutting of the West will occur. De-industrialisation and rising unemployment will be the norm as Western societies go the way of Brazil and Argentina. You can help dig the grave for Western civilisation by "buying Asian".

Resist it: pay double for the hamper or shirt if necessary or go without. Examine your consumption patterns, and that of your family. A difference can be made at the grass roots level. The Chinese dragon is at a crucial stage of growth and it can be stopped. Doing so will substantially damage the plans of the new world order.

Resistance must come from somewhere and it must start with us as individual consumers!

THE GRAPE, EGG AND CITRUS 'GLUT' by Betty Luks: We are really crazy – are we not? Chickens being killed, eggs smashed or buried, citrus trees bulldozed out of the ground, grape vines meeting the same fate, and fruit ploughed back into the soil. WHY?

Is everyone so well fed in this land there is no need of such nutritious food? If all our people are so well fed and there is no need of it in this land, maybe World Vision and the rest of the professional 'charities' could make good use of it? Would such groups have no need of such nutritious food for the world's hungry? After all, the professionals are always playing on our sense of fair play and compassion and begging for more of our money.

Oh? You say, it is the type of food that would deteriorate quickly? Well, if that is so, WHY IN GOD'S NAME IS SOUTH AUSTRALIA IMPORTING EGGS FROM INTERSTATE, BISCUITS AND BOTTLED WATER FROM CHINA AND FRUIT JUICES FROM THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES?

Why don't we, why can't we, consume that which we grow here? How does that ancient saying go? "Those whom the gods would destroy they first make crazy." And we Australians are crazy. We cannot see the 'wood for the trees' and we allow the politicians to get away with the destruction of men's livelihoods and refuse to acknowledge God's abundance in this age of plenty.

How could it be done? By adjusting the money system to take the real facts into the (national) accounting system. If we don't need all the available human energy for our production systems, what policies are we putting in place for these people to obtain their share of the abundance - and at the same time accounting for the producer's just price?

Do you honestly believe those we might call in today's jargon, 'financially challenged' couldn't make good use of the eggs, the grapes and the citrus fruits?

I would hope many Australians mused over this nation's convict beginnings as they celebrated "Australia Day" 2006. Our beginnings were brought to mind as I thought of those who were transported to this harsh land as felons for stealing a miserable loaf of bread, or some such thing.

Many a starving man stole perhaps a loaf of bread, or something of the kind, and was condemned to a prison term while at the same time huge surpluses were destroyed (from want of distribution) and further production prevented. **NOTHING HAS CHANGED.**

It is not that we cannot produce enough to feed, clothe and house our people - it is that we will not face the fact of the fraudulent money changers now in control who dictate to 'our' politicians upon what terms they will permit us to live.

Isn't it interesting that the mainline media always refer to such abundance as a 'glut'. It is always presented as a problem - *never* as a gift of God's abundant grace in the field of physical economics. It is *never* referred to as a welcome abundance to be graciously distributed to all - and 'all' includes those who have need, but no ready purchasing power.

To describe it as a 'glut' implies that we are 'gluttons' and don't deserve to have it, therefore it is destroyed.

IT IS TRAGIC AND ABSURD: C.H. Douglas spoke of such a situation which he described as 'tragic and absurd'. He gave an example of the type of mind and spitefully miserable spirit that brings forth such policies.

"You have most probably heard of the Quebec Bridge across the St Lawrence River at Quebec. When the bridge was first half-built, the engineer of that bridge, two days before the time I am speaking of delivered a lecture at Connecticut University in the United States, in which he said, that the engineers of the Forth Bridge near Edinburgh, ought to have been indicted for a misuse of public money because they put far too much steel in the bridge.

Two or three days, certainly not more than a week after he made that speech, the unfinished Quebec Bridge, which he designed, blew down because there was not enough steel in it!

The idea which has been in that man's mind when he did that was that he had become oblivious to the fact that there was plenty of steel in the world, that every one of the steel manufacturers in the world would have been delighted to have provided him with a little more steel.

The important thing was not to build the best and strongest and safest bridge, but the important thing was to do it with the least expenditure of something we call money which has nothing whatever to do with the strength of bridges."

Money, *in the real world*, has nothing whatever to do with the health and well being of a people. But it governs the miserable type of political mind, which constantly intrudes into and interferes with the quality of our daily lives. When will Australians say, "Enough is enough!"

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.